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An interpolant (or metamodel or surrogate) fills in data between discrete points


## Using curve fits across tabular data happens all the time in systems engineering

- Fitting engine performance data
- Pressure and thrust coefficients across a control scheme for wind turbines
- Aerodynamic performance data
- Atmospheric modeling across different altitudes
- Heat exchanger performance at different temperatures and fluid flow rates


## Sometimes data is structured; sometimes it's not

<br>Structured



Semi-structured


Unstructured

OpenMDAO offers metamodels for all three types

## During my PhD I developed a script to interactively view multidimensional fits






Now you too have that power, thanks to OpenMDAO and the dev team!


openmdao view_mm run_script.py
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## Sometimes you need to call an interpolant millions of times during an optimization

In the conceptual design phase, you might use precalculated tabular aerodynamic data

Surprisingly, interpolating this data might become the bottleneck if you're doing a trajectory optimization

## The interpolation schemes were not optimal for our trajectory design problems

1. Some tables were interpolated using higher order fits unnecessarily
2. The interpolation methods were implemented as a series of nested 1-D interpolations
3. They also provided derivatives wrt the training points
4. This flexibility decreased performance
5. Vectorization wasn't possible

OpenMDAO now includes fast, purpose-built metamodels for fixed data
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MetaModelStructuredComp
MetaMode1StructuredComp is a smooth interpolation Component for data that exists on a regular, structured, grid. This differs from MetaModelUnStructured which accepts unstructured data as collections of points.

## (1) Note

OpenMDAO contains two components that perform interpolation: SplineComp and
MetaMode1StructuredComp. While they provide access to mostly the same algorithms, their usage is subtly different. The fundamental differences between them are as follows:

MetaModelstructuredComp is used when you have a set of known data values y on a structured grid x and want to interpolate a new y value at a new x location that lies inside the grid. In this case, you generally start with a known set of fixed "training" values and their locations.

SplineComp is used when you want to create a smooth curve with a large number of points, but you want to control the shape of the curve with a small number of control points. The $x$ locations of the interpolated points (and where applicable, the control points) are fixed and known, but the $y$ values at the control points vary as the curve shape is modified by an upstream connection.

MetaModelStructuredComp can be used for multi-dimensional design spaces, whereas SplineComp is restricted to one dimension.
$\checkmark$ MetaModelStructuredcomp produces smooth fits through provided training data using polynomial splines of various orders. The interpolation methods include three that wrap methods in scipy.interpolate, as well as five methods that are written in pure python. For all methods, derivatives are automatically computed. The following table summarizes the methods and gives the number of points required for each.
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MetaModelStructuredComp
hetamodelstructuredComp is a smooth interpolation Component for data that exists on a regular, structured, grid This differs from MetaModelUnStructured which accepts unstructured data as collections of points.

## (1) Note

OpenMDAO contains two components that perform interpolation: SplineComp and
MetaMode1StructuredComp. While they provide access to mostly the same algorithms, their usage is subtly different. The fundamental differences between them are as follows:

MetaMode1StructuredComp is used when you have a set of known data values y on a structured grid x and want to interpolate a new y value at a new x location that lies inside the grid. In this case, you generally start with a known set of fixed "training" values and their locations.

SplineComp is used when you want to create a smooth curve with a large number of points, but you want to control the shape of the curve with a small number of control points. The x locations of the interpolated points (and where applicable, the control points) are fixed and known, but the $y$ values at the control points vary as the curve shape is modified by an upstream connection.

MetaModelStructuredComp can be used for multi-dimensional design spaces, whereas SplineComp is restricted to one dimension.

MetaModelstructuredComp produces smooth fits through provided training data using polynomial splines of various orders. The interpolation methods include three that wrap methods in scipy.interpolate, as well as five methods that are written in pure python. For all methods, derivatives are automatically computed. The following table summarizes the methods and gives the number of points required for each.

| Method | Order | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| slinear | 1 | Basic linear interpolation |
| lagrange2 | 2 | Second order Lagrange polynomial |
| lagrange3 | 3 | Third order Lagrange polynomial |
| akima | 3 | Interpolation using Akima splines |
| cubic | 3 | Cubic spline, with continuity of derivatives between segments |
| scipy_slinear | 1 | Scipy linear interpolation. Same as slinear, though slower |
| scipy_cubic | 3 | Scipy cubic interpolation. More accurate than cubic, but slower |
| scipy_quintic | 5 | Scipy quintic interpolation. Most accurate, but slowest |
| 1D-slinear | 1 | Linear on a fixed 1D table |
| 2D-slinear | 1 | Linear on a fixed 2D table |
| 3D-slinear | 1 | Linear on a fixed 3D table |
| 1D-akima | 3 | Akima on a fixed 1D table |
| 3D-lagrange2 | 2 | Second order Lagrange on a fixed 3D table |

## OpenMDAO now includes fast, purpose-built

 metamodels for fixed data$3 D$-lagrange 3 is a sped-up version of lagrange3 with:

| Method | Order | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| slinear | 1 | Basic linear interpolation |
| lagrange2 | 2 | Second order Lagrange polynomial |
| lagrange3 | 3 | Third order Lagrange polynomial |
| akima | 3 | Interpolation using Akima splines |
| cubic | 3 | Cubic spline, with continuity of derivatives between segments |
| scipy_slinear | 1 | Scipy linear interpolation. Same as slinear, though slower |
| scipy_cubic | 3 | Scipy cubic interpolation. More accurate than cubic, but slower |
| scipy_quintic | 5 | Scipy quintic interpolation. Most accurate, but slowest |
| 1D-slinear | 1 | Linear on a fixed 1D table |
| 2D-slinear | 1 | Linear on a fixed 2D table |
| 3D-slinear | 1 | Linear on a fixed 3D table |
| 1D-akima | 3 | Akima on a fixed 1D table |
| 3D-lagrange2 | 2 | Second order Lagrange on a fixed 3D table |
| 3D-lagrange3 | 3 | Third order Lagrange on a fixed 3D table |

## These fast methods sped up our trajectory interpolants by $>30 x$

Evaluation times by vec_size


3D table dimensions: $25 \times 15 \times 12 ; 4500$ points

## Using tabular data and interpolants in OpenMDAO

## We now have fast fixed interpolants

Be wary of using piecewise linear interpolants

## Interpolant accuracy and efficiency matter

Using piecewise linear interpolants can introduce problems when using gradients


## Piecewise linear fits can be problematic in real engineering cases
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## Using tabular data and interpolants in OpenMDAO

## We now have fast fixed interpolants

Be wary of using piecewise linear interpolants
Interpolant accuracy and efficiency matter

Please critically consider what interpolants you're using, your data, and the balance of computational cost and accuracy

## Thanks!

john.jasa@nasa.gov

